## Appendix B – Consultation 2 Summary

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | **Site** | **Site Assessment by Independent Assessor** | **Comments For** | **Comments Against** | **Comment Not Relevant** |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | Little Court |   |   |   |  8 |  |   |   |   |   |
|   | Barnfield |   |   | 3 |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | Churchfield |   | 1 | 2 |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | Homefield |   |   | 2 |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | Ct Barton / Pulleys |   | 11 | 3 | 2 |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | Hive / Bakers Hill |   | 6 | 4 | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | East of Hive |   | 1 | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | East of Church |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | Cricket Field | **\*** | 24 | 2 | 5 |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | SE Icy Park |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | \* Cricket pitch has been changed from potential to suitable as amenity issue which caused the assessor to downgrade site to "potential" is no longer relevant |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   | ***Summary of "voting" from consultation (potential and suitable sites only)*** |   |
|   | **Site** | **Comments For** | **Comments Against** | **Comments For %** | **Comments Against %** |   |
|   | Barnfield |   | 3 | 0% | 100% |   |
|   | Churchfield | 1 | 2 | 33% | 67% |   |
|   | Homefield |   | 2 | 0% | 100% |   |
|   | Ct Barton / Pulleys | 11 | 3 | 79% | 21% |   |
|   | Hive / Bakers Hill | 6 | 4 | 60% | 40% |   |
|   | East of Hive | 1 | 1 | 50% | 50% |   |
|   | Cricket Field | 24 | 2 | 92% | 8% |   |
| ***Results*** |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Rank** | **Site** | **Comment** |   |   |   |   |   |
| 1 | Cricket Field | Huge consensus for developing this site, both in number of votes and in % of votes  |
| 2 | Ct Barton / Pulleys |   |   |   |   |   |   |